

# Alliance for Nuclear Accountability

*A national network of organizations working to address issues of nuclear weapons production and waste cleanup*

## Member Groups

Blue Ridge Enviro. Defense League  
Glendale Springs, NC

Carolina Peace Resource Center  
Columbia, SC

Citizen Alert  
Las Vegas, NV

Coalition for Health Concern  
Benton, KY

Colorado Network for a Nuclear  
Weapons Free World  
Denver, CO

Concerned Citizens for Nuclear Safety  
Santa Fe, NM

Fernald Residents for Environmental  
Safety and Health, Inc.  
Ross, OH

Global Resource Action Center for  
the Environment  
New York, NY

Government Accountability Project  
Seattle, WA

Healthy Environment Alliance of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT

Heart of America Northwest  
Seattle, WA

Miamisburg Envir. Safety & Health  
Miamisburg, OH

National Environmental Coalition  
of Native Americans  
Prague, OK

Nuclear Age Peace Foundation  
Santa Barbara, CA

Nuclear Watch of New Mexico  
Santa Fe, NM

Oak Ridge Envir. Peace Alliance  
Oak Ridge, TN

Panhandle Area Neighbors  
and Landowners  
Panhandle, TX

Peace Action Education Fund  
Washington, DC

Peace Farm  
Panhandle, TX

Physicians for Social Responsibility  
Washington, DC

Portsmouth/Piketown Residents for  
Environmental Safety & Security  
McDermott, OH

Rocky Mt. Peace & Justice Center  
Boulder, CO

Shundahai Network  
Salt Lake City, UT

Snake River Alliance  
Boise, ID

Southwest Research and  
Information Center  
Albuquerque, NM

Tri-Valley CAREs  
Livermore, CA

Women's Action for New  
Directions  
Arlington, MA

for further information, contact:  
Susan Gordon: (206) 853-6399  
or local contacts listed at end of advisory.

for immediate release, Thursday, October 19, 2006

## **“BOMBPLEX” PROMISES NEW, COLD WAR-SCALE NUCLEAR WEAPONS INDUSTRY**

Today, the Department of Energy (DOE) is releasing a Notice of Intent to prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) for a massive reorganization and refurbishment of the nuclear weapons complex. This giant shift in operations is being offered as a supplemental environmental impact statement to the 1996 Stockpile Stewardship and Management PEIS in an attempt to mask the scale of the proposed changes.

In reality, Complex 2030 is a bizarrely inappropriate Dr. Strangelove-esque plan to revitalize the United States' nuclear weapons production capability in order to manufacture the new Reliable Replacement Warhead, which will potentially drive a new nuclear weapons arms race. Despite the end of the Cold War almost two decades ago, the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), which manages DOE's nuclear weapons programs, is aggressively pursuing new military missions and designs to carry out the expanded first strike options envisioned in the 2002 Nuclear Posture Review.

In his testimony before Congress in April 2006 discussing nuclear weapons infrastructure, Thomas D'Agostino, NNSA's Deputy Administrator for Defense Programs stated, “we seek an ability to design, develop, certify and begin production of refurbished or replacement warheads within 48 months of a decision to begin engineering development... these timelines would restore us to a level of capability comparable to what we had during the Cold War.”

Susan Gordon, Director of the Alliance for Nuclear Accountability expresses her disbelief. “At a time when the Non-Proliferation Treaty is in danger of unraveling, it is madness to be planning to rebuild the U.S. nuclear weapons program with new warheads and new military missions. 'Do as I say and not as I do' is not a viable foreign policy and will only make the world more dangerous.”

The Alliance for Nuclear Accountability's Mason Lowe adds, “This is an attempt by the Department of Energy to secure its own financial future – not secure America. Given DOE's track record, the new Bombplex will soar over budget which is estimated at \$155 billion, while failing to achieve its goals.”

The stated goals of Complex 2030 include:

- Production of the Reliable Replacement Warhead (RRW), a series of new weapons claimed to perform reliably without ever needing nuclear testing
- Increasing the dismantlement of retired warheads
- Consolidation of special nuclear materials used in nuclear weapons to fewer sites in the complex and fewer locations within the sites to reduce the cost of security and the establishment of a consolidated plutonium research and production center
- Introducing more uniformity in technical and business practices to reduce risk and increase efficiency

DOE/NNSA's past activity has always moved in the direction of increased complexity at greater costs than anticipated. A "curatorship" approach could accomplish the major stated goals of Complex 2030: ensured safety/reliability of the stockpile (consisting of previously tested designs), no return to testing, increased dismantlement, removal of dangerous/vulnerable nuclear materials from many sites, and economic/programmatic efficiency.

By taking such a step towards diminishing the role of nuclear weapons in U.S. military doctrine and foreign policy, this country could take the lead in moving all nuclear nations toward the goals of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty.

"The DOE's 'Bombplex 2030' offers us dangerous, new nuclear weapons development, testing and production in the guise of consolidation," said Marylia Kelley, Executive Director of Tri-Valley CAREs, located in Livermore, CA. "This is a recipe for reviving the arms race. We who live communities next to DOE sites are still experiencing the environmental and health impacts from the first one. We say, 'Clean up the existing mess, don't make new ones.'"

Jay Coghlan, Executive Director of Nuclear Watch of New Mexico, said, "The Notice of Intent calls for a baseline capacity of producing 125 plutonium pit "triggers" per year and at the same time cancels the previously proposed Modern Pit Facility. This sets the stage for the Los Alamos National Lab becoming the nation's consolidated plutonium production center by default."

The Alliance for Nuclear Accountability is a national network of more than 30 groups, most of whom live downwind and downstream from the U.S. nuclear weapons complex sites. These groups have been working collaboratively for nearly two decades to clean up the environmental legacy of nuclear weapons production and stop new nuclear weapons programs.

— 30 —

The NNSA Notice of Intent is available at:

<http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20061800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2006/pdf/E6-17508.pdf>

### **Local Contacts**

Marylia Kelley, Executive Director, Tri-Valley Communities Against a Radioactive Environment  
Livermore, CA – (925) 443-7148

Jay Coghlan, Executive Director, Nuclear Watch of New Mexico  
Santa Fe, NM – (505) 989-7342

Mavis Belisle, Director, Peace Farm  
Panhandle, TX – (806) 341-4801

Ralph Hutchison, Director, Oak Ridge Environmental Peace Alliance  
Oak Ridge, TN – (865) 483-8202