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COMMENTS ON THE SCOPE OF REVIEW OF THE FUTURE BOMBPLEX

Mr. Theodore A. Wyka, Department of Energy, Complex 2030 Document Manager:

The following issues must be included in the scope of public review of the Complex 2030 Suplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (“Complex 2030 SEIS”):

- Among the given alternatives, DOE only offers false choices between varying degrees of increased nuclear weapons production. An Enhanced Global Security Alternative must be also considered, whose essence is responsible custodianship of nuclear weapons while they await dismantling under the framework of the 1970 NonProliferation Treaty.

- Recently released studies state that plutonium pits, the cores of nuclear weapons, have lifetimes of ~100 years or more. The oldest weapons in the planned stockpile are 28 years old, and therefore have ~70 years or more of remaining life. **What then is the true need for new-design nuclear weapons and production?**

- Please analyze the impacts of diverting at least 150 billion in taxpayer dollars to new nuclear weapons instead of cleaning up the **massive environmental damage caused by past research and production**. What are the **long-term public health and ecological effects** of leaving radioactive and chemical contaminants that may pollute precious water resources while new, unnecessary, and costly nuclear weapons are being built?
  - Rigorous cost analyses should verify or not DOE’s claim that Complex 2030 will save taxpayer $$.  
  - As per a recent federal court decision, the adverse consequences of **potential terrorist acts** at DOE nuclear weapons facilities must be analyzed.

- Because of its likely size and complexity, the public comment period for the draft Complex 2030 SEIS should far exceed the minimum requirement of 45 days. I request 120 days. Upon release of the draft DOE should make all cited reference documents immediately available on the Internet.

Signed,
(address on reverse)